

6/13/71

Dear Paul

Having spent as much time as I could prepping for the Tuesday hearing on the pix/clothing suit, I've abandoned every approach I've taken and have only tomorrow to decide and prepare for it. Not comforting. I think I have the best judge in the district and I hate to blow that. The issues are limited, but I don't know how limited, and I do not know how much of the enormous file the judge has read. There are cases when they read none, which also is a real problem for the litigant. Anyway, we won't be too long learning how I'll do and what will happen.

In between times I've scanned the mailing covered in your 4/23/71 to Eckhoff, enclosed. I suppose I went over it in brief snatches of time on about six occasions. Early this a.m. I finished it.

Sorry so much of CD 358, 1*, 1-6 is so hard to read. There is some I couldn't make out. But it is very interesting. Have you anything similar? Have you identified him as other than with the Senate Judiciary Committee, as with the Internal Security subcommittee? Anything relevant to this would be welcome. I had more trouble than usual reading it because I ran a brush into my left eye yesterday. Although that is my bad eye, any strain made both water.

I couldn't make out enough of CD427: 1-5 to get the gist. Anything significant?

Nothing else new, except that Howard tells me that Specter is being touted as Mitchell's successor in today's Phila. papers, the next step to be candidate for Governor. If he is ~~nominated~~ nominated, I think I'll ask to be heard in opposition. But I find it hard to think that the Nixon who wanted a Mitchell to take "justice" where Mitchell has now wants a "liberal" to take it back somewhat. The Washington papers have been hinting at Barry Goldwater's contribution to "justice", the aptly-named Kleindienst.

Sincerely,